

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/645,122	08/21/2003	Herbert Peiffer	03/034 MFE 3857			
38263	7590 01/27/2005		EXAMINER			
PROPAT, L.	L.C.	CHEN, VIVIAN				
425-C SOUTI	I SHARON AMITY RO	DAD				
CHARLOTTE, NC 28211-2841			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1773	1773		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No) .	Applicant(s)				
		10/645,122		PEIFFER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Vivian Chen	_1	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespond nce address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho ication. days, a reply within the statutory n tory period will apply and will expil. I, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be time ninimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on		•				
• —	•)⊠ This action is non-fi	nal.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for f	ormal matters, pro:	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>	, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 and 21-24 is/are rejected							
-	Claim(s) <u>4-20</u> is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requir	ement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.		•				
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti	on to the drawing(s) be he	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is required if t	he drawing(s) is obje	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note th	e attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☑ Certified copies of the priority do		• ()	(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been red	eived in Application	on No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	•		d in this National Stage				
* S	See the attached detailed Office action	·	* **	1 .				
			.,					
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Interview Summary (
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat Notice of Informal Pa	e tent Application (PTO-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Other:	.,				

Application/Control Number: 10/645,122 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-20 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend on another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. A claim cannot be directed to a use *per se*.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 1-3, 21-23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over:
 - (a) claims 1-3, 21-23 of copending Application No. 10/645,105; or
 - (b) claims 1-3, 21-23 of copending Application No. 10/645,137 (US 2004, 0213967).

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the copending applications claim a transparent biaxially oriented polyester film having a layer A which forms peelable heat seals and consists of a blend of the specified polyester and an incompatible polymer, and methods of forming said films.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GREER ET AL (US 6,616,998);

Application/Control Number: 10/645,122

Art Unit: 1773

in view of ULLMANN'S ENCYCLOPEDIA OF INDUSTRIAL CHEMISTRY (hereinafter ULLMANN'S) and PEIFFER ET AL (US 5,955,181);

GREER ET AL discloses a peelable, heat sealable polyester film, wherein the heat seal layer has a typical thickness of 2.5-250 microns and comprises a 75-99 wt% copolyester and 1-25 wt% of an impact modifying polyester, wherein the copolyester comprises at least 50 mol% terephthalic acid or naphthalenedicarboxylic acid with minor amounts of aliphatic dicarboxylic acids (e.g., succinic, adipic, etc). The films are coextruded using conventional methods. (lines 40-60, col. 2; line 1-24, col. 5) However, the reference does not explicitly disclose biaxially oriented films, or the recited methods of making said films.

ULLMANN'S discloses that it is well known in the art to biaxially oriented polymer films in order to improve mechanical and other physical properties. (section 2.3).

PEIFFER ET AL '181 discloses that it is well known in the art to biaxially oriented heatsealable polyester films comprising a heatsealable copolyester layer, wherein the films are typically coextruded and biaxially oriented at stretch ratios and temperatures as recited in claims 21-23.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to biaxially oriented the heatsealable film of GREER ET AL using conventional methods and conditions in order to improve the mechanical and barrier properties of the base film layer.

Art Unit: 1773

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

January 23, 2005

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773